



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,365	07/18/2000	Khanh Trang Nguyen	IGT1P022	8884

22434 7590 07/23/2004
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP
P.O. BOX 778
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778

EXAMINER

HUYNH, KIM T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2112

13

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/618,365	NGUYEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim T. Huynh	2112	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kim T. Huynh, USPTO. (3) _____
 (2) Justin White, 48,883. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 July 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 29 and 30.


Identification of prior art discussed: Acres.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See attachment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 XUAN M. THAI
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 TC2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant argues that there is no power source supply to main board and daughter board. Power supply was inherently provided via connection between main board and daughter board.
2. Applicant argues that Acres daisy chain is difference than his invention.
3. Applicant proposed to amend claims 1, 29, 30

As per claim 1, switching off power while two boards still remained connected.

As per claim 29-30, maintain power while replacing the first board with a second daughter board in the first standard receptor slot of the main communication board.

Applicant was advised to send the amendment for further review for consideration.

Kim Huynh

July 13, 2004